

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,155	07/14/2003	Douglas T. Gjerde	P002.210	9520
55130 PHYNEXUS, I	7590 08/30/2007 NC.		EXAMINER	
3670 CHARTER PARK DRIVE			RAMILLANO, LORE JANET	
SAN JOSE, CA	X 93130		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1743		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/620,155	GJERDE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lore Ramillano	1743			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON 1	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 June 2007</u> .					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 and 25-34 is/are pending in the above claim(s) 25-34 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/4/03</u> is/are: a) ⊠ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-22, in the reply filed on 6/5/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examining the claims together would not be an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because searching for all the claims together would be an undue burden on the examiner since the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as a Pasteur pipette.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 25-34 (Group II) are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/5/07.

Art Unit: 1743

Status of Claims

3. In applicant's reply filed on 6/5/07, applicant amended claims 5 and 15; and cancelled claims 23-24. Claims 1-22 and 25-34 are pending. Claims 1-22 are under examination.

Prior art rejections

4. The rejections over the prior art are withdrawn. New rejections follow.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The rejection of claims 23-24, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn. New rejections follow.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected because the claim language, "low pore volume," is indefinite.

Examiner recommends including language to further define this term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1743

9. Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 14, 15, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colpan (US 5652141).

Colpan discloses an extraction column comprising: a column body (40) having an open end, an open lower end, and an open channel between the upper and lower end of the column body; a bottom frit (23) bonded to and extending across the open channel, the bottom frit having a low pore volume; a top frit (23) bonded to and extending across the open channel between the bottom frit and the open upper end of the column body, and top frit having a low pore volume; the top frit and bottom frit are less than 350 microns thick; the top frit, bottom frit, and channel surface define an extraction media chamber (between 23 and 23); and a bed of extraction (20) media positioned inside the extraction media chamber (i.e. column 3, lines 14-44).

Colpan further discloses that the bottom frit is located at the open lower end of the column body; the bottom frit is a membrane screen and the top frit is a membrane screen, which comprises a polymeric material; the column body comprises polypropylene; membrane screen made of nylon or polyester; a column body attached to a peristaltic pump; and a lower tubular member comprising the lower end of the column body, a first engaging end, and a lower open channel between the lower end of the column body and the first engaging end; and an upper tubular member comprising the upper end of the column body, a second engaging end, and an upper open channel between the upper end of the column body and the second engaging end, the top membrane screen of the extraction column bonded to and extending across the upper open channel at the second engaging end, wherein the first engaging end engages the second engaging end to a form a sealing engagement (i.e. column 3, line 14 to column 4, line 33).

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewer (US 6566145) in view of Colpan.

In figure 2, Brewer discloses an extraction column comprising: a column body (10) having an open end, an open lower end, and an open channel between the upper and lower end of the column body; a bottom frit (24) bonded to and extending across the open channel, the bottom frit having a low pore volume; a top frit (22) bonded to and extending across the open channel between the bottom frit and the open upper end of the column body, and top frit having a low pore volume; the top frit, bottom frit, and channel surface define an extraction media chamber (between 22 and 24); and a bed of extraction (18) media positioned inside the extraction media chamber (i.e. column 3, lines 3-36).

Brewer does not specifically disclose a frit less than 350 microns thick.

Colpan discloses a device comprising two filter layers made of nylon with equal pore sizes, and a cylindrical hollow body. The particle size of the filter layer is in the range of from 5 microns to 500 microns at a total thickness of the filter layer of from 0.1 to 200 mm. (i.e. column 3, line 14 to column 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brewer's frits to have the specific filter dimensions as disclosed by Colpan because it would be desirable to have frits especially customized for microscale-sized chromatography columns.

Art Unit: 1743

12. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-15, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hargro ("Hargro," US 6139733) in view of Colpan.

In figure 2, Hargro discloses an extraction column comprising: a column body (22) having an open end, an open lower end, and an open channel between the upper and lower end of the column body; a bottom frit (26) bonded to and extending across the open channel, the bottom frit having a low pore volume; a top frit (22) bonded to and extending across the open channel between the bottom frit and the open upper end of the column body, and top frit having a low pore volume; the top frit, bottom frit, and channel surface define an extraction media chamber (between 22 and 26); and a bed of extraction (28) media positioned inside the extraction media chamber (i.e. column 2, lines 30-42).

Hargo further discloses that the bottom frit is located at the open lower end of the column body (Fig. 2); the extraction media comprises a packed bed of gel-type packing material (i.e. column 2, lines 37-42); the extraction media comprises an affinity binding group (i.e. column 2, lines 37-42); the column body comprises polyethylene (i.e. column 4, lines 15-20); a syringe (fig. 6); an upper end of the column body is attached to a pump (14, fig. 1); and a lower tubular member comprising the lower end of the column body, a first engaging end, and a lower open channel between the lower end of the column body and the first engaging end; and an upper tubular member comprising the upper end of the column body, a second engaging end, and an upper open channel between the upper end of the column body and the second engaging end, the top membrane screen of the extraction column bonded to and extending across the upper open channel at the second engaging end, wherein the first engaging end engages the second engaging end to a form a sealing engagement (i.e. Fig. 1, 2, 5, and 6; column 2, lines 30-41).

Art Unit: 1743

Hargro does not specifically disclose a frit less than 350 or 200 microns thick; a frit having a pore volume equal to 10% or less; a frit having a pore volume of 1 microliter or less; and a membrane screen made of nylon.

Colpan discloses a device comprising two filter layers made of nylon with equal pore sizes, and a cylindrical hollow body. The particle size of the filter layer is in the range of from 5 microns to 500 microns at a total thickness of the filter layer of from 0.1 to 200 mm. (i.e. column 3, line 14 to column 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hargro's frits to have the specific filter dimensions and filter material as disclosed by Colpan because it would be desirable to have frits especially customized for microscale-sized chromatography columns.

13. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hargro in view of Colpan, as applied to claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-15, and 18-22 above, and further in view of Smith et al. ("Smith," US Pub. No. 2004/0253687).

The disclosure of Hargro is discussed above. Modified Hargro does not specifically disclose utilizing agarose and sepharose and an affinity binding group, such as Protein A.

Smith discloses an apparatus for extracting proteins of interest comprising an "affinity tag," which is a molecule, ligand or polypeptide attached to a protein (polypeptide) of interest. Examples of affinity tags include, but are not limited to, hexa-histidine, other metal tags, Protein A, and other protein or small molecule tags which may assist in the isolation and purification of expressed proteins. Furthermore, Smith discloses an "affinity matrix," which include chromatography medium, such as agarose, cellulose, Sepharose, Sephadex and other chromatography medium, polystyrene beads, magnetic beads, filters, membranes and other solid-

Art Unit: 1743

state materials bound to ligands or substrates which bind to the affinity tag of choice. (i.e. [0052]-[0053], [0084]). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the modified Hargro by specifically utilizing sepharose or agarose since the modified Hargro discloses utilizing chromatorgraphy medium, which is a term commonly known in the art that pertains to gel-based chromatography media, such as agarose and cellulose. In addition, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the modified Hargro by specifically utilizing Protein A as the affinity tag because the modified Hargro discloses utilizing a media which is known in the art to contain materials that bind to an affinity tag of choice. Thus, it would be desirable to have Protein A as the affinity tag of choice to isolate particular protein complexes bound to the tagged protein of interest.

14. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hargro in view of Colpan, as applied to claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-15, and 18-22 above, and further in view of Hunt et al. ("Smith," US Pub. No. 2002/0110495).

The disclosure of Hargro is discussed above. Modified Hargro does not specifically disclose having a bed volume of less than 20 microliters and an extraction media chamber at most 1000 microliters.

Hunt discloses a device for the purification and separation of substances. The purification device comprises a sample holder comprising a sample chamber and a column module. The column module is securable to the sample holder and is packed with chromatography medium having a special affinity for attracting a given substance. (abstract). Hunt further discloses a microcolumn with a single bed volume, 0.02 to 0.1 ml, in paragraph [0020]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the

modified Hargro by specifically having a bed volume of less than 20 microliters and an extraction media chamber at most 1000 microliters because it would be advantageous to utilize microscale-sized components for a microscale chromatography column.

15. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hargro in view of Colpan, as applied to claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-15, and 18-22 above, and further in view of Halmann et al. ("Halmann," US 4302534).

The disclosure of Hargro is discussed above. Modified Hargro does not specifically disclose having a bed of extraction media having a dry weight of less than 10 mg.

Halmann discloses a heterogenous enzymatic immunoassay, in which chemiluminescence is employed as a detection means. Halmann discloses in example 2, a standard assay procedure comprising about 1mg dry weight of anti-SEB-Sepharose, solution to be tested, and saline solution (i.e. column 7, lines 1-40). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the modified Hargro by specifically utilizing less than 10mg dry weight of Sepharose because it would be beneficial to utilize a limited amount of chromatography media for microscale sized chromatography columns to insure a reasonable amount of chromatography media is packed inside the column.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lore Ramillano whose telephone number is (571) 272-7420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

Art Unit: 1743

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lore Ramillano Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700